Sociolinguistic Variation in the "(If Clause")

LINGUISTICS II - Group AI - 2024

Group Members Demarchi, Lucia Tamara Molina, Melanie Magali Rossiano, Paula

Overview







Pretérito imperfecto del modo subjuntivo

Si atendería en un consultorio, ganaría mas plata



1 Context

Sentences expressing conditions

Purpose

Analyze the use of the variants

Throw light on Spanish grammar



+ education, - use of nonstandard variant

small city, + use of nonstandard variant

higher middle class, + use of standard variant

young speakers, - use of standard variant

Initial thoughts

No determining factors

The variable is not free

Factors considered



This is an example of a stable variable

Gender	Years of education	Social stratus	Place of origin	Context	Age	Example
Woman	University education	Middle class	Córdoba Capital	friends gathering	25	"Es como si agarraría todos los mensajes y contestara uno solo, entendes?"

Man	University education	Middle class	Córdoba Capital	lunch with relatives
-----	-------------------------	-----------------	--------------------	----------------------------



Beatriz Lavandera (1983)

 socio educational strata: people with primary education

• age: adolescents

 region of residence: predominant in Buenos Aires



Competidor nº 1 Competidor nº 2

Competidor nº 3

Use of standard and non-standard forms among men and women based on the sociodemographic factor of **social class**





Non-standard variant: **62,5%** working: 40% middle: 20% upper: 40%

Standard variant: 37,5% working: 0 middle: 66,67% upper: 33,33%

Women - social class



non standard variant



Non-standard variant: **41,67%** working: 0% middle: 60% upper: 40%

Standard variant: 58,33% working: 0 middle: 71,47% upper: 28,57%

Use of standard and non-standard forms among men and women based on the sociodemographic factor of **age**





Standard variant: **58,33%**

20-29: 42,58% 30-39: 14,28% 40-49: 14,28% 50-59: 14,28%

Non-standard variant: **41,66%** 20-29: 100%



Men - Ages



Standard variant : 37,5%

20-29: 33,33% 50-59: 33,33% 60-69:33,33%

Non-standard variant: **62,5%**

20-29: 80% 30-39: 0 40-49: 20% 50-59: 0 60-69:0





Standard variant : 58,33%

Big city: 28,57% Small city:71,42 %

Non-standard variant: 41,66%

> Big city: 60% Small city: 40%

Men- Place of origin

* Small city: up to 30.000 inhabitants



Standard variant: **37,5%**

big city: 66,6% small city: 33,3%

Non-standard variant: **62,5%**

Big city: 20% Small city: 80%

Use of standard and non-standard forms among men and women based on the sociodemographic factor of **years of education**



Standard variant: **41,67%** -up to Secondary Education: 14,28% -Higher Education: 85,71%

Non- standard variant: **58,33%**

-up to Secondary Education: 0 -Higher Education: 100%



Standard: **37,5%** -up to secondary education: 33,33% -higher education: 66,67%

Non- standard: **62,5%** -up to secondary education: 40% -higher education: 60 %

Conclusion

sociodemographic factors constrain the use of this variant

- one)
- non-standard one

 both middle class men and women favor the use of the standard variant (even though there is a great percentage of middle class women that favor the use of the non-standard

 young people, both women and men, between the ages 20-29 favor the use of the non-standard variant • women living in small cities favor the use of the standard variant while men living in small cities favor the use of the

• women with higher levels of education favor the use of the non-standard variant while men with higher levels of education favor the use of the standard one